

## Final Budget Scrutiny Recommendations – January 2017

Cross-Cutting Issues		Recommendation	Member Response
<p>In the context of continuing difficult financial circumstances, and in respect of learning from the experience of the MTFS to date OSC agreed scrutiny should be locked in to the process both of monitoring budget and performance and of evaluating strategy, considering risks and setting out mitigation.</p>		Cabinet should regularly monitor progress on achievement of savings, and report regularly on: budget, including achievement of savings, projections; risk; and mitigation.	Cabinet does regularly monitor progress on achievement of savings, and report regularly on: budget, including achievement of savings, projections; risk; and mitigation.
		Cabinet members and priority leads as appropriate should report in October 2017 to their scrutiny panels on: financial performance, risks and mitigation plans, alongside regular reporting on overall priority performance and quarterly briefings meetings for panel chairs on performance, budget and risk.	Noted
		Cabinet member for finance should then report to OSC on overall progress against budget, risks and mitigation.	Noted
Children & Young People Scrutiny Panel (Priority 1)			
Ref	MTFS Proposal	Recommendation	Response
1.6	Adoption and Special Guardianship Payments	That a report be submitted to the Panel in due course on the impact of the implementation of the refreshment of the payment policy	Noted
1.7	New Models of Care	That an update on progress with the development of the new models be submitted to a future meeting of the Panel.	Noted
N/A	Other Comments	That serious concern be expressed at the lack of detail within the proposals in respect of risk modelling and that a further report on progress in	A further report on progress around delivering the savings will be submitted to the panel before the end of 2017.

		delivering the savings and their impact upon service delivery be submitted to the Panel as soon as these became clear and before the end of 2017.	
Environment & Community Safety Scrutiny Panel (Priority 3)			
<b>Ref</b>	<b>MTFS Proposal</b>	<b>Recommendation</b>	<b>Response</b>
3.2	Charging for Bulky Household Waste	That concern be expressed at the potential for the proposal to lead to an increase in fly tipping and the achievability of the additional income specified and, in the light of this, the following take place: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• A communications campaign with emphasis on the current penalty of £400 for fly tipping;</li> <li>• Consideration of an increase in the level of the penalty; and</li> <li>• Quarterly monitoring of the impact, benchmarked from the date of implementation of the proposal and, in addition, a full review after a year.</li> </ul>	Noted
3.3	Charging for Replacement Wheelie Bins	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. That there be discretion to waive the charge if there is evidence of bins being damaged during collection;</li> <li>2. That bins be made more clearly identifiable as being from Haringey;</li> <li>3. That the potential for the proposal to impact adversely on income levels be noted; and</li> <li>4. That the impact on the number of replacement bins requested be monitored.</li> </ol>	Noted
3.6	Closure of Park View Road R&R	That the impact of closure be monitored closely for any impact on the level of fly tipping	Noted

	- Service reduction		
3.7	Rationalisation of Parking Visitor Permits	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The age for concessionary rate be reduced from 75 to 65; and</li> <li>2. That future increases in price be staged</li> </ol>	Noted
3.8	Relocation of Parking/CCTV processes and appeals	That concern be expressed about the proposal and that a full report on the issue, including an equalities impact assessment, be submitted to overview and scrutiny once market testing has taken place and before a decision is taken on procurement by Cabinet.	Noted